Notice of Successful Offeror (Proposer) REQUEST FOR PROPOSALS (RFP) No. P18003, Lot #5 Legal Services- Fair Housing Law

TO: All proposers in response to the above noted RFP.

We are pleased to announce that, as a result of our evaluation of the proposals received in response to the above noted RFP, we are in the process of completing award to the top-rated responsive and responsible proposer, Pahl & McKay of San Jose, CA.

Following is listed all proposers, where each placed as the result of our evaluation, the Unit and Total Calculated Costs proposed:

			[Table No. 1]							
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)							
		Average	Total							
	Final	Points	Calculated							
Name of Proposer	Rank	Awarded	Proposed							
			Costs*							
Pahl & McCay	1	75	\$46,250							
Goldfarb & Lipman	2	73	\$32,000							
Ballard Spahr LLP	3	73	\$42,250							
Edrington Schirmer & Murphy	4	72	\$20,250							
Law Offices of Bill Ford	5	69	\$17,050							
Keegin Harrison	6	68	\$34,400							
Law Offices of Charles Ramsey	7	67	\$28,750							
Reno & Cavanaugh	8	65	\$38,100							
Meyers Nave	9	65	\$38,600							
Aleshire & Wynder, LLP	10	63	\$25,450							
*Top-rated Responsive and Responsive Proposer										
*Please see the "Detail of Unit Costs Proposed" attached hereto.										

We remind each proposer of his/her right to a debriefing and the right to protest. Please note that the Agency must receive written notice of either within 10 days of the receipt of this Notice (please note that the Procedure to Protest, which all protestants MUST comply with, has already been received by each proposer as a part of Attachment F of the RFP documents issued).

We are grateful for your interest in doing business with our Agency.

Kimberly Barnard Modernization & Compliance Manager

MARIN HOUSING AUTHORITY

6 . W/W	Aleshire & Wynder, LLP	Ballard Spahr LLP	Edrington Schirmer & Murphy LLP	Goldfarb & Lipman LLP	Keegin Harrison LLP	Law Office of Charles Ramsey	Law Offices of Bill Ford	Meyers Nave Riback Silver & Wilson	Pahl & McCay, A Professional Corporation Unit Price	PLLC Unit Price	
Qty - U/M # Description	Unit Price Extended Price	Unit Price Extended Price	Unit Price Extended Price	Unit Price Extended Price	Unit Price Extended Price	Unit Price Extended Price	Unit Price Extended Price	Unit Price Extended Price	Extended Price	Extended Price	
Lot # 5 - Firm-fixed Unit	t Fees for Fair Housin	g Law									
13 80 - Hour(s) Partner (Per Hour), including clerical	\$250.00 20,000.00	\$410.00 32,800.00	\$195.00 15,600.00	\$320.00 25,600.00	\$335.00 26,800.00	\$275.00 22,000.00	\$155.00 12,400.00	\$395.00 31,600.00	\$485.00 38,800.00	\$390.00 31,200.00	
14 20 - Hour(s) Associate (Per Hour), including clerical	\$210.00 4,200.00	\$375.00 7,500.00	\$185.00 3,700.00	\$240.00 4,800.00	\$335.00 6,700.00	\$275.00 5,500.00	\$155.00 3,100.00	\$275.00 5,500.00	\$280.00 5,600.00	\$270.00 5,400.00	
15 10 - Hour(s) Paralegal (Per Hour), including clerical	\$125.00 1,250.00	\$195.00 1,950.00	\$95.00 950.00	\$160.00 1,600.00	\$90.00 900.00	\$125.00 1,250.00	\$155.00 1,550.00	\$150.00 1,500.00	\$185.00 1,850.00	\$150.00 1,500.00	
Lot Totals:	\$ 25,450.00	\$ 42,250.00	\$ 20,250.00	\$ 32,000.00	\$ 34,400.00	\$ 28,750.00	\$ 17,050.00	\$ 38,600.00	\$ 46,250.00	\$ 38,100.00	
Total Proposed	Aleshire & Wynder, LLP	Ballard Spahr LLP	Edrington Schirmer & Murphy LLP	Goldfarb & Lipman LLP	Keegin Harrison LLP	Law Office of Charles Ramsey	Law Offices of Bill Ford	Meyers Nave Riback Silver & Wilson	Pahl & McCay, A Professional Corporation	Reno & Cavanaugh, PLLC	
Cost for each Proposal: (Qty x Per Unit Price)	\$ 25,450.00	\$ 42,250.00	\$ 20,250.00	\$ 32,000.00	\$ 34,400.00	\$ 28,750.00	\$ 17,050.00	\$ 38,600.00	\$ 46,250.00	\$ 38,100.00	
	"Proposed Costs vs. Proposed Costs"										
Section 3 Firm:	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	
Adjusted Total Proposed Cost(s):	\$ 25,450.00	\$ 42,250.00	\$ 20,250.00	\$ 32,000.00	\$ 34,400.00	\$ 28,750.00	\$ 17,050.00	\$ 38,600.00	\$ 46,250.00	\$ 38,100.00	
Calculation Factor:	.6699	.4036	.8420	.5328	.4956	.5930	1.0000	.4417	.3686	.4475	
Points Awarded:	13	8	17	11	10	12	20	9	7	9	

Assign Points for Evaluation Criterion No. 1 - Lot #5

(R) - Rejected alternate specification

Back (If you choose "Back," the points for Evaluation Criteria No. 1 will not be assigned at this time.)